	Case 3:09-cv-00123-LRH-RAM Document 13 Filed 08/18/09 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	LINUTED OF A TEC DICTRICT COURT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
9	DAVID RODRIGUEZ,)
10	Plaintiff, 3:09-cv-00123-LRH-RAM
11	VS.)
12	HOWARD SKOLNICK, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	Plaintiff, an inmate at Northern Nevada Correctional Center, is proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this civil
16	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the Americans with Disabilities Act and the
17	Rehabilitation Act. Plaintiff paid the filing fee in this action on May 29, 2009.
18	On June 12, 2009, the court entered a screening order in this case in which it dismissed
19	without prejudice plaintiff's claim under the Americans with Disabilities Act (Docket #7).
20	On June 25, 2009, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the court's order of June 12,
21	2009 (Docket #9). Plaintiff asked the court to reconsider the dismissal of his ADA claim, arguing
22	that he has sued individual defendants in their official capacities and that this is the equivalent of
23	suing the institution which employs them. The court found no merit to this argument, and thus
24	denied plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Docket #10).
25	On July 29, 2009, plaintiff filed objections and a motion for clarification of the court's order
26	of July 10, 2009. (Docket #11, #12.) These objections and motion for clarification are, in essence,
27	a motion for further reconsideration of the court's order of June 12, 2009. The court has previously
28	considered and rejected plaintiff's arguments, and will not consider them again.

Case 3:09-cv-00123-LRH-RAM Document 13 Filed 08/18/09 Page 2 of 2